Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 207

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

| Barnes v. Greenwich Hospital | 512 119 |
|--|------------|
| Negligence; premises liability; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on basis of ongoing storm doctrine; whether defendants met initial burden to demonstrate no genuine issue of material fact that there was ongoing storm at time of plaintiff's fall; whether plaintiffs met burden to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of fact as to whether fall was caused by slippery condition that existed prior to ongoing storm and whether defendants had actual or constructive notice of allegedly preexisting condition; adoption of state of New York burden-shifting approach under ongoing storm doctrine. | 119 |
| Brass City Local, Connecticut Alliance of City Police v. Waterbury | 422 |
| Carolina Casualty Ins. Co. v. Connecticut Solid Surface, LLC. Vexatious litigation; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for cross claim defendant on vexatious litigation claim; whether prior action terminated in defendant's favor where there was promise by plaintiff in prior action to agree to dismissal of complaint in exchange for defendant's promise to agree to dismissal of counterclaim against that plaintiff. | 525 |
| Coleman v. Bembridge | 28 |
| De Almeida-Kennedy v. Kennedy | 244 |
| Finney v. Commissioner of Correction | 133 |
| High Watch Recovery Center, Inc. v. Dept. of Public Health | 397 |

| could appeal; claim that trial court erred in concluding that letter written by plaintiff to Office of Health Care Access requesting to intervene was insufficient to constitute request for public hearing pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 19a- | |
|--|-----|
| $639a \ (e)).$ | |
| In re Karter F | 1 |
| Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly found that Depart- ment of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent | |
| father with minor child; whether trial court properly found that father was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification efforts; claim that trial court improperly found that father failed to rehabilitate pursuant to applicable statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); whether trial court failed to consider impact of COVID-19 pandemic and cessation of services while father was incarcerated in | |
| determining that father failed to rehabilitate; claim that trial court improperly found that termination of father's parental rights was in minor child's best interests. | |
| In re Probate Appeal of McIntyre | 433 |
| Probate appeal; Uniform Transfers to Minors Act (§ 45a-557 et seq.); claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to make certain of its orders relating to custodial arrangement for UTMA accounts that were not subject to decree of Probate Court from which plaintiff appealed; claim that trial court improperly placed burden on plaintiff to prove that his removal as custodian of UTMA account was not warranted. | |
| | 200 |
| Leconte v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to investigate adequately and to present evidence that petitioner suffered from significant mental disease; claim | 306 |
| that evidence regarding petitioner's mental health was necessary to effectively cross-examine and to discredit state's witnesses regarding his inculpatory statement to cellmate; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner did not demonstrate that his appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance by | |
| failing to raise on direct appeal claim that trial court improperly granted state's | |
| motion for joinder of robbery cases. | 200 |
| Malinowski v. Sikorsky Aircraft Corp | 266 |
| Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's award to plaintiff; claim that expert opinion was not expressed with reasonable degree of medical probability; claim that commissioner's causation finding was not supported by competent medical evidence; claim that commissioner improperly referred to plaintiff's work activities beyond those referenced in medical records; whether board properly affirmed commissioner's denial of defendants' motion for articulation. | |
| Noroton Heights Shopping Center, Inc. v. Phil's Grill, LLC | 211 |
| Summary process; whether trial court's finding that defendant breached lease by violating terms of relocation clause was clearly erroneous; whether existence of substitute premises was condition precedent to plaintiff lessor's right to issue note of substitution to defendant lessee. | |
| Pimental v. River Junction Estates, LLC | 361 |
| Quiet title; whether trial court erred in determining that there had been no implied dedication of certain portion of road as public highway. | 440 |
| Shaheer v. Commissioner of Correction | 449 |
| Habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to timely raise defense of duress; adoption of habeas court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of relevant facts and applicable law on that issue. | |
| Stafford v. Commissioner of Correction | 85 |
| Habeas corpus; subject matter jurisdiction; whether petitioner's appeal was rendered moot following concession by respondent Commissioner of Correction that petitioner is eligible for parole; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petition on basis of testimony that Board of Pardons and Paroles found petitioner to be eligible for parole; claim that the habeas court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over claims in petition for writ of habeas corpus that retroactive application of parole eligibility statute (§ 54-125a (b) (1)) to petitioner violated ex post facto clause of federal constitution. | |
| State v. Dionne | 106 |
| Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; plain error doctrine; claim that trial court erred in allowing constancy of accusation testimony by victim's | |

| mother; claim that trial court erred by admitting videotape of forensic interview of victim under constancy of accusation doctrine or pursuant to medical diagnosis or treatment exception to rule against hearsay evidence. | F.0 |
|---|------------|
| State v. Glen S Violation of probation; whether trial court's canvass of defendant regarding waiver of his right to be represented by counsel was constitutionally inadequate under Faretta v. California (422 U.S. 806); whether defendant's alleged noticeable impairment during his violation of probation evidentiary hearing entitled him to new trial under State v. Connor (292 Conn. 483); whether this court should have exercised its supervisory authority to require trial courts to canvass criminal defendants about waiver of their constitutional rights to testify; whether defendant was deprived of his constitutional right to conflict free representation. | 56 |
| State v. Heriberto B. Risk of injury to child; whether trial court properly dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction first motion to correct illegal sentence defendant filed as self-represented party; reviewability of unpreserved constitutional claim of violation of right to jury trial. | 192 |
| State v. Lavecchia | 537 |
| State v. Paschal | 328 544 |
| Motion to correct illegal sentence; whether constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy was violated when sentencing court merged defendant's convictions of felony murder and manslaughter instead of vacating manslaughter conviction; whether defendant's right to be free of double jeopardy was violated when trial court failed to vacate one of two counts of kidnapping in first degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-92 (a) (2) (A) and (B)) of which he had been convicted. | |
| State v. Small | 349 |
| State v. Stephenson | 154 |
| State v. Yusef L | 475 |
| 2772 BPR, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission | 377 |

| pal services, and potential impact on neighborhood property values when conduct- ing its administrative review; whether commission properly considered whether location of project on dead-end street limited access to emergency services. | |
|---|-----|
| Vossbrinck v. Hobart | 490 |
| Violation of constitutional rights; violation of state law; sovereign immunity; | |
| whether trial court properly concluded that defendant state marshal was entitled | |
| to sovereign immunity; whether trial court properly determined that defendant | |
| was entitled to statutory immunity (§ 6-38a (b)) when nothing in record raised | |
| genuine issue of material fact as to whether defendant's actions were wanton, | |
| reckless or malicious; whether trial court improperly failed to address claim | |
| under federal statute (42 U.S.C. § 1983). | |
| Wright v . Dzurenda | 228 |
| Declaratory judgment; motion to dismiss; exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| pursuant to federal statute (§ 42 U.S.C. § 1997e (a)); order of pleadings pursuant | |
| to relevant rule of practice (§ 10-60); claim that trial court erred in determining | |
| that plaintiff had failed to exhaust his administrative remedies; claim that trial | |
| court erred in considering defendant's special defense that plaintiff had failed to | |
| exhaust his administrative remedies because defendant had waived that defense. | |